



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/785,981	02/20/2001	Kazuhiro Kusuda	Q63222	1740
7590 03/19/2004			EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037			ENATSKY, AARON L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3713	
DATE MAILED: 03/19/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/785,981

Applicant(s)

KUSUDA, KAZUHIRO

Examiner

Aaron L Enatsky

Art Unit

3713

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Aaron L Enatsky.

(3) Alan Kasper.

(2) Mike O'Neill.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 10 March 2004.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 4,572,509 to Sitrick and US 5,411,258 to Wilson et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



MICHAEL O'NEILL  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed features of the instant application and provided arguments toward the combinability of the references and specificity lacking in Sitrick. Examiner will take Applicant's points under advisement when considering Applicant's response. Full and fair consideration will be given to all remarks presented. It appears patentable subject matter is disclosed. However, the instant claim language has been interpreted by the Examiner to be obvious in view of the prior art of record because the language utilized to define the invention does not reflect the patentable elements that were discussed by the Applicant's representative in the instant interview.